arXiv:1405.0968v2 [math-ph] 24 Aug 2015

Classical-quantum correspondence for shape-invariant systems

A.M. Grundland [1,2] **, D. Riglioni** [1]

[1] Centre de Recherches Mathématiques, Université de Montréal, C.P. 6128 Succ. Centre-ville Montreal (QC) H3C3J7, Canada
[2] Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Université du Québec, Trois-Rivières, C.P. 500 (QC) G9A5H7, Canada

E-mail: grundlan@crm.umontreal.ca

E-mail: riglioni@crm.umontreal.ca

Abstract. A quantization procedure, which has recently been introduced for the analysis of Painlevé equations, is applied to a general time-independent potential of a Newton equation. This analysis shows that the quantization procedure preserves the exact solvability property for the class of shape-invariant potentials. When a general potential is considered the quantization procedure involves the solution of a Gambier XXVII transcendental equation. Explicit examples involving classical and exceptional orthogonal Laguerre and Jacobi polynomials are discussed.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 35Q40, 33C45, 33C47

Key Words: quantum linearization, Painleve equations in the Calogero form, integrable systems, shape-invariant potentials

1. Introduction

The linearization of a nonlinear differential equation $\Delta(x,t,[u])$
(where $[u] \equiv (u, u_t, u_x, u_{tt}, ...)$) as the compatibility condition of an overdetermined system (i.e. the zero curvature condition (ZCC)) of the linear differential equations

$$\begin{cases} \partial_x \Phi = \mathbf{U}\Phi, \\ \partial_t \Phi = \mathbf{V}\Phi, \end{cases} \quad \rightarrow \partial_t \mathbf{U} - \partial_x \mathbf{V} + [\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V}] \equiv \Delta(x,t,[u(x,t)]) = 0, \tag{1}$$

is a well-known technique which, over the years, has allowed for a systematic investigation of many important integrable nonlinear partial differential equations (PDEs) such as the KdV, nonlinear Schroedinger and Sine-Gordon equations (see e.g. [1] [2]). This technique can also be used to study nonlinear ordinary differential equations (ODEs). In this case the second independent variable, say the x of (1), is replaced by the spectral parameter λ. A remarkable example in this class of nonlinear ODEs is represented by the Painlevé equations [3]. Painlevé equations arise in many contexts and they can also be defined as particular reductions of some integrable PDEs solvable by the inverse scattering transfom (see e.g. [4] - [7]). The idea of regarding each Painlevé equation as the compatibility condition of a set of linear differential equations goes back to the work of R. Fuchs [8]. In some recent papers by Suleimanov

[9] and by Zabrodin and Zotov [10]-[12] such a mechanism has been used to define the Painlevé equations in the so-called "Calogero form". The study of Painlevé equations as a Hamiltonian system (also known as "Calogero form") has a long history (for some relevant references see e.g. [13] [14] and references therein). In particular, in [15] it is shown that for all Painlevé equations $P(\ddot{y}, \dot{y}, y, t) = 0$ it is possible to find a transformation $(y, T) \to (u, t), \quad y = y(u, t), T = T(t)$ which maps the Painlevé equation to the Newton differential equation

$$\ddot{u} = -\partial_u V_c(u, t), \tag{2}$$

(where the dot denotes the derivative with respect to t). Equation (2) can be regarded as the equation of motion for a time-dependent Hamiltonian system

$$H(p, u, t) = \frac{p^2}{2} + V_c(u, t). \tag{3}$$

The main result of Zabrodin and Zotov [10] is the fact that equation (2) can be regarded as the compatibility condition of a linear spectral problem (LSP) which turns out to be mathematically equivalent to a time-dependent Schroedinger equation

$$-i\partial_t \psi(x, t) = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 \psi + V_q(x, t)\psi, \tag{4}$$

where x plays the role of the spectral parameter and the quantum potential $V_q(\cdot, t)$ turns out to be identical to the potential $V_c(\cdot, t)$ up to some renormalization in the parameters contained in $V_q(\cdot, t)$. For this reason, we will refer to equation (4) as the quantization of equation (3) in the sense of the classical-quantum correspondence as introduced by Suleimanov, Zabrodin and Zotov (SZZ) (see [9] [10]). For this paper to be self-contained, let us explicitly recall the notion of the classical-quantum correspondence for the Painlevé equations PIV and PV.

We first consider the LSP for Painlevé equation PIV [10]

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_x \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{x^3}{2} + tx + \frac{Q+\frac{1}{2}}{x} & x^2 - u^2 \\ \frac{Q^2+\frac{\beta}{2}}{u^2 x^2} - Q - \alpha - 1 & -\frac{x^3}{2} - tx - \frac{Q+\frac{1}{2}}{x} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\partial_t \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{x^2+u^2}{2} + t & x \\ -\frac{Q+\alpha+1}{x} & -\frac{x^2+u^2}{2} - t \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where

$$Q = u\dot{u} - \frac{u^4}{2} - tu^2.$$

The compatibility condition associated with the LSP (5) corresponds to the Painlevé PIV equation in the Calogero form [10]

$$\Delta_{PIV} = \ddot{u} + \partial_u Vc = 0, \tag{6}$$

$$V_c = -\frac{u^6}{8} - \frac{tu^4}{2} - \frac{1}{2}(t^2 - \alpha)u^2 + \frac{\beta}{4u^2}. \tag{7}$$

Moreover, from the LSP (5) we observe that the function $\psi = e^{\int^t (\frac{\dot{u}^2}{2} + V_c) dt'} \phi_1$ satisfies the following non-stationary "real" Schroedinger equation (4)

$$\partial_t \psi = \frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 \psi + \left(-\frac{x^6}{8} - \frac{t x^4}{2} - \frac{1}{2}(t^2 - \alpha)x^2 + \frac{\beta + \frac{1}{2}}{4x^2} \right) \psi, \tag{8}$$

where the potential in the Schroedinger equation (8) turns out to be the same as that of the classical equation (6) up to a shift in the parameter β. An analogous analysis can be performed for the Painlevé equation PV.

Let us introduce the following LSP

$$\partial_x \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{U} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad \partial_t \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{V} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{9}$$

where the entries of $\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{U}_{11} = {} & \dot{u}\frac{\sinh 2u}{\sinh 2x} - \frac{2\sigma}{\sinh 2x}\left(\cosh 2x - \cosh 2u\right) + \\
& + \frac{e^{2t}}{4\sinh 2x}\left(\cosh 4x - \cosh 4u\right) + \coth(2x), \qquad (10)\\
\mathbf{U}_{12} = {} & e^t\left(\cosh 2x - \cosh 2u\right), \qquad (11)\\
\mathbf{U}_{21} = {} & \dot{u}^2\frac{e^{-t}}{\sinh^2 2x}\left(\cosh 2u + \cosh 2x\right) + \qquad (12)\\
& \dot{u}\frac{\sinh 2u}{\sinh^2 2x}\left(4\sigma e^{-t} - e^t(\cosh 2u + \cosh 2x)\right) + \\
& 8\sigma^2 e^{-t}\frac{\coth^2 u}{\sinh^2 2x}\left(\sinh^2 u - \cosh^2 x\right) - 2\sigma e^t\frac{\sinh^2 2u}{\sinh^2 2x} + \\
& - 2e^{-t}\frac{\xi^2 + 2\xi\sigma}{\sinh^2 u \sinh^2 x} + \frac{2e^{-t}\zeta^2}{\cosh^2 u \cosh^2 x} + \\
& \frac{e^{3t}\sinh^2 2u}{4\sinh^2 2x}\left(\cosh 2u + \cosh 2x\right), \\
\mathbf{U}_{22} = {} & -\mathbf{U}_{11}, \qquad (13)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{V}_{11} = {} & \frac{1}{2}e^{2t}\left(\cosh 2x + \cosh 2u\right) - 2\sigma + \frac{1}{2}, \qquad (14)\\
\mathbf{V}_{12} = {} & e^t \sinh 2x, \qquad (15)\\
\mathbf{V}_{21} = {} & \frac{e^{-t}}{\sinh 2x}\left(\left(\dot{u} - \frac{e^{2t}\sinh 2u}{2}\right)^2 + \frac{4\zeta^2}{\cosh^2 u} - \frac{4\xi^2 + 8\xi\sigma}{\sinh^2 u} - 4\sigma^2\coth^2 u\right), \qquad (16)\\
\mathbf{V}_{22} = {} & -\mathbf{V}_{11}, \qquad (17)
\end{aligned}$$

where σ, ξ, ζ are free parameters. The compatibility condition reduces to the following Newton equation for the Painleve equation PV

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{PV} = {} & \ddot{u} + \partial_u V_c = 0, \qquad (18)\\
V_c = {} & \frac{4\zeta^2}{2\cosh^2 u} - \frac{4(\xi+\sigma)^2}{2\sinh^2 u} - \frac{e^{4t}}{16}\cosh 4u + \left(\sigma - \frac{1}{2}\right)e^{2t}\cosh 2u. \qquad (19)
\end{aligned}$$

The function ϕ_1 satisfies a "real" Schroedinger equation for the function

$$\psi = \phi_1 e^{\int^t (\frac{u^2}{2} + V_c) dt'} \tag{20}$$

$$\partial_t \psi = \frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 \psi + \left(\frac{4\zeta^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{2\cosh^2 x} - \frac{4(\xi+\sigma)^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{2\sinh^2 x} - \frac{e^{4t}}{16}\cosh 4x + \left(\sigma - \frac{1}{2}\right) e^{2t} \cosh 2x \right) \psi, \tag{21}$$

which corresponds to the quantization of equation (18) up to a redefinition of the parameters ζ, ξ and σ.
We remark that both the Painlevé PIV and Painlevé PV equations can be regarded as time-dependent integrable deformations for the potential of a harmonic oscillator with centrifugal barrier and the Poschl Teller potential, respectively

$$V_{PIV} \to \frac{1}{2}\alpha x^2 + \frac{\beta}{x^2}, \tag{22}$$

$$V_{PV} \to \frac{4\zeta^2}{2\cosh^2 x} - \frac{4(\xi+\sigma)^2}{2\sinh^2 x}. \tag{23}$$

These potentials (22) and (23) are well-known for being "shape-invariant". Shape-invariant potentials were implicitly introduced by Schroedinger in [17] and then generalized by Infeld and Hull in [18] as a mechanism to solve algebraically the bounded spectrum of a quantum mechanical system (for a more recent review on the topic see e.g. [19]).

Let us briefly recall the definition of a shape-invariant potential as a potential whose Hamiltonian operator can be factorized through two ladder operators $a_\lambda, a_\lambda^\dagger$

$$\hat{H} = a_\lambda^\dagger a_\lambda = -\partial_x^2 + V_\lambda(x), \tag{24}$$

$$a_\lambda = -i\partial_x + iW'_\lambda(x), \tag{25}$$

$$a_\lambda^\dagger = -i\partial_x - iW'_\lambda(x), \tag{26}$$

having the following property

$$a_\lambda a_\lambda^\dagger = a_{\lambda+\delta}^\dagger a_{\lambda+\delta} + const, \tag{27}$$

where λ, δ are in general parameter vectors. It is straightforward to verify by direct computation that property (27) holds for the potentials (22) and (23), if the ladder operators take the form

$$a_l = -i\partial_x + i(-\omega x + \frac{l}{x}), \tag{28}$$

$$a_l^\dagger a_l = -\partial_x^2 + \frac{l(l-1)}{x^2} + \omega^2 x^2 - 2\omega l - \omega, \tag{29}$$

$$a_l a_l^\dagger = -\partial_x^2 + \frac{l(l+1)}{x^2} + \omega^2 x^2 - 2\omega l + \omega, \tag{30}$$

and

$$a_{l,g} = -i\partial_x + i(g\coth x + l\tanh x), \tag{31}$$

$$a^\dagger_{l,g}a_{l,g} = -\partial_x^2 + \frac{g(g-1)}{\sinh^2 x} - \frac{l(l-1)}{\cosh^2 x} + (g+l)^2, \tag{32}$$

$$a_{l,g}a^\dagger_{l,g} = -\partial_x^2 + \frac{g(g+1)}{\sinh^2 x} - \frac{l(l+1)}{\cosh^2 x} + (g+l)^2. \tag{33}$$

On the basis of the above considerations the principal objective of this paper is to show that whenever the potential $V_c(u(t),t)$ of the Newton equation (2) does not depend explicitly on time it is possible to define a LSP whose compatibility condition involves the solution of the equation (2) and of a nonlinear differential equation which can be reduced (under some specific assumptions) to the Gambier equation XXVII (GXXVII). In particular we will show that the exact solvability of GXXVII is connected with the exact solvability of the "quantization" of (2). In fact it turns out that if the potential V has the shape-invariant property then it is possible to provide an exact solution of GXXVII in terms of orthogonal polynomials either classical or exceptional.

The present paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we recall the basic concepts necessary for an understanding of the quantization in the sense of the SZZ. In particular we will provide the master equation which allows us to connect any Newton equation (2) to its corresponding Schroedinger equation (4). Particular solutions will be provided in subsections 2.1 and 2.2 for quantum Schroedinger equations characterized by potentials which are shape-invariant. In section 3 we will discuss in detail the classical quantum correspondence for the harmonic oscillator system with centrifugal barrier providing the exact solution of the LSP in terms of exceptional orthogonal Laguerre and Jacobi polynomials. Section 4 contains final remarks and possible future developments.

2. Linear spectral problem and nonstationary Schroedinger equation

We start by considering a completely general LSP defined by two potential matrices $\tilde{U},\tilde{V}\in\mathfrak{sl}(2)$.

$$\begin{cases}\partial_x\tilde{\Phi} = \tilde{\mathbf{U}}\tilde{\Phi},\\ \partial_t\tilde{\Phi} = \tilde{\mathbf{V}}\tilde{\Phi},\end{cases} \tag{34}$$

where $\tilde{U},\tilde{V}$ are given by the traceless matrices

$$\tilde{\mathbf{U}} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b\\ c & -a\end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{V}} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{A} & B\\ C & -\tilde{A}\end{pmatrix}. \tag{35}$$

We reduce the number of undetermined functions in the entries of matrices $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{V}}$ by considering the following gauge transformation

$$\tilde{\Phi} = \mathbf{T}\Phi, \quad \mathbf{T} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0\\ -a/b & 1\end{pmatrix}, \tag{36}$$

$$U = \mathbf{T}^{-1}\tilde{\mathbf{U}}\mathbf{T} - \mathbf{T}^{-1}\partial_x\mathbf{T} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ \alpha & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{37}$$

$$V = \mathbf{T}^{-1}\tilde{\mathbf{V}}\mathbf{T} - \mathbf{T}^{-1}\partial_t\mathbf{T} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ \beta & -A \end{pmatrix}, \tag{38}$$

where A, α and β are given by

$$A = \tilde{A} - \frac{aB}{b}, \tag{39}$$

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{b}(-det(\tilde{\mathbf{U}}) + a_x) - \frac{ab_x}{b^2}, \tag{40}$$

$$\beta = \frac{a^2B}{b^2} + \frac{bC + a_t}{b} + \frac{a}{b^2}(2Ab - b_t). \tag{41}$$

The LSP

$$\begin{cases} \partial_x\Phi = \mathbf{U}\Phi, \\ \partial_t\Phi = \mathbf{V}\Phi, \end{cases} \qquad \Phi = (\phi_{ij}), \quad i,j = \{1,2\} \tag{42}$$

can be rewritten as follows

$$\Phi_{1,i_x} = b\Phi_{2,i} \rightarrow \Phi_{1,i_{xx}} = b_x\Phi_{2,i} + b\Phi_{2,i_x}, \tag{43}$$

$$\Phi_{2,i_x} = \alpha\Phi_{1,i}, \tag{44}$$

where α, β are functions to be determined. The equation (43) defines a Sturm Liouville problem if we replace

$$\Phi_{2i} = \frac{\Phi_{1i_x}}{b}, \tag{45}$$

$$\Phi_{2i_x} = \alpha\Phi_{1i}. \tag{46}$$

In addition, the entry $\Phi_{1,i}$ has to satisfy another linear PDE which can be defined using the second equation in the LSP (42)

$$\partial_t\Phi = \mathbf{V}\Phi \rightarrow \Phi_{1,i_t} = A\Phi_{1,i} + B\Phi_{2,i} \rightarrow \phi_{2,i} = \frac{1}{B}\Phi_{1,i_t} - \frac{A}{B}\Phi_{1,i}. \tag{47}$$

Replacing (47) in (43) we obtain

$$\Phi_{1,i_{xx}} = \frac{b_x}{B}\Phi_{1,i_t} + (b\alpha - \frac{b_xA}{B})\Phi_{1,i}. \tag{48}$$

This equation turns into the Schroedinger equation (4) if we set

$$\begin{cases} B = \frac{ib_x}{2}, \\ \alpha = \frac{2}{b}(V_q(x) - iA), \end{cases} \tag{49}$$

$$i\Phi_{1,i_t} = -\frac{1}{2}\Phi_{1,i_{xx}} + V_q(x)\Phi_{1,i}. \tag{50}$$

The final step consists in making the system (42) a compatible system, namely to impose the ZCC.

$$ZCC \equiv \partial_t \mathbf{U} - \partial_x \mathbf{V} + [\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V}] = 0. \tag{51}$$

From the components of $ZCC_{1,2}$ and $ZCC_{1,1} = ZCC_{2,2}$ we can determine the functions A and β

$$\begin{cases} A = \frac{1}{2b}\left(b_t - \frac{i}{2}b_{xx}\right), \\ \beta = \frac{1}{b}\left(A_x + \frac{i}{2}\alpha b_x\right). \end{cases} \tag{52}$$

The last condition $ZCC_{2,1} = 0$ can be used to fix the function $b(x,t)$. However, since the goal is to connect the quantum linear problem to classical mechanics we require that the function $b(x,t)$ be dependent on time through the time-dependent variable $u(t)$, $b = b(x,u(t))$. Moreover we set the function $u(t)$ such that it satisfies the conservation of energy for a classical system

$$\frac{\dot{u}^2}{2} + V_c(u(t)) = 0. \tag{53}$$

With this assumption $ZCC_{2,1} = 0$ turns into the following PDE

$$4i\dot{u}(bb_{xxu} - b_x b_{xu}) - 8V_c b_u^2 + 8V_q b_x^2 + b_{xx}^2 - 2b_x b_{xxx} + b(4V_c' b_u + 8V_c b_{uu} - 4V_q' b_x - 8V_q b_{xx} + b_{xxxx}) = 0, \tag{54}$$

where we have denoted $V_c' \equiv V_{c,u}$ and $V_q' \equiv V_{q,x}$. Considering our purposes we can simplify the nonlinear PDE (54) with non-constant coefficients to two nonlinear ODEs by assuming the following ansatz on the function $b(x,u(t))$

$$b = b_1(x) - b_2(u(t)). \tag{55}$$

In particular equation (54) turns out to be satisfied whenever the two ODEs

$$V_c {b_2}_u^2 = k_1 b_2^2 + k_2 b_2 + k_3, \quad k_1, k_2, k_3 \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{56}$$

$$V_q {b_1}_x^2 = k_1 b_1^2 + k_2 b_1 + k_3 + \frac{b_{1x} b_{1xxx}}{4} - \frac{{b_1}_{xx}^2}{8}, \tag{57}$$

hold. The function b_2 can easily be determined for any potential V_c by integrating the first-order elliptic ODE (56)

$$\int \frac{db_2}{\sqrt{k_1 b_2^2 + k_2 b_2 + k_3}} = \int \frac{1}{\sqrt{V_c(u)}} du. \tag{58}$$

On the other hand we have to solve a nonlinear third-order ODE (57) in order to obtain the function $b_1(x)$ which produces a given quantum potential $V_q(x)$. If we set the free parameters $k_2 = k_3 = 0$ we can reduce (57) to the second order ODE

$$b_1(x) = e^{\int^x f(s)ds} \to f f_{xx} + 4k_1 - 4V_q(x) f^2 + 2f^2 f_x - \frac{f_x^2}{2} + \frac{f^4}{2} = 0. \tag{59}$$

The equation (59) turns out to be the Gambier equation GXXVII ([16]). Such an equation can be linearized to a linear 4th-order ODE. However, it is possible to express (57) through a system of two Sturm-Liouville problems for the functions ρ and b_1

$$\begin{cases} \rho_{xx} = (2V_q - \epsilon\sqrt{2k_1})\rho, \\ b_{1xx} = 2\frac{\rho_x}{\rho} b_{1x} + 2\epsilon\sqrt{2k_1} b_1, \quad \epsilon^2 = 1, \end{cases} \tag{60}$$

which is equivalent to the 4th order ODE

$$V_{q_x} b_{1x} + 2V_q b_{1xx} = 2k_1 b_1 + \frac{b_{1xxxx}}{4}. \tag{61}$$

The parameter k_2 can be introduced with the shift $b \to b + \frac{k_2}{k_1}$ and k_3 is a constant of integration.

In particular if we assume that the solution for ρ in (60) can be expressed as $\rho = e^{W(x)}$ with prepotential $W(x)$, then the quantum potential V_q can be expressed as $2V_q = \epsilon\sqrt{2k_1} + W_{xx} + W_x^2$. Under these assumptions we can recast the Sturm Liouville problem involving b_1 as a Schroedinger equation by introducing the following gauge transformation $b_1 = e^W \psi$

$$\psi_{xx} = (W_x^2 - W_{xx} + 2\epsilon\sqrt{2k_1})\psi. \tag{62}$$

The solution of (57) reduces to the solution of a couple of Schroedinger equations (60) involving V_q as a potential. In order to provide some explicit example, let us consider the potential V_q characterized by the shape-invariant property.

$$\begin{cases} a = -\partial_x + W_x, \\ a^\dagger = \partial_x + W_x, \end{cases} \tag{63}$$

such that

$$W_x^2 + W_{xx} = 2V_q - \epsilon\sqrt{2k_1}. \tag{64}$$

The system (60) turns into the Schroedinger equations

$$\begin{cases} a^\dagger a\rho = 0, \\ (aa^\dagger + 2\epsilon\sqrt{2k_1})\psi = 0. \end{cases} \tag{65}$$

It is straightforward to verify that, given a basis of eigenfunctions for the operator $a^\dagger a\phi = \lambda\phi$, we obtain

$$\psi = a\phi,$$

where k_1 depends on the eigenvalue λ of the eq (62).

Now we present some examples in order to illustrate the above theoretical considerations.

2.1. The harmonic oscillator potential

As a simple example we consider the harmonic oscillator potential

$$2V_q + \sqrt{2k_1} = \omega^2 x^2 + \frac{l(l-1)}{x^2} + \omega(2l + 1 + 4N). \tag{66}$$

Such a quantum potential V_q can be obtained by setting the prepotential W as follows

$$W = \frac{\omega}{2}x^2 + l\ln(x) + \ln(L_N^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(-\omega x^2)), \tag{67}$$

where L_N^l are the Laguerre polynomials. The potential V_q in (66) doesn't depend on the sign of ω. We assume from now on that ω is a positive real number in order to avoid singularites in the Schroedinger equations (65). Under these assumptions it is easy to determine the function ψ from the eigenfunctions of the Harmonic oscillator

$$\phi_{n,l} = e^{-\frac{\omega x^2}{2}} x^l L_n^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(\omega x^2), \tag{68}$$

which satisfy the equation

$$a^\dagger a \quad \phi_{n,l} = \omega(4l + 2 + 4N + 4n)\phi_{n,l}. \tag{69}$$

From equation (69) we obtain that $\psi = a\phi_{n,l}$ satisfies

$$(aa^\dagger - 2\sqrt{2k_1})\psi = 0, \quad k_1 = \frac{\omega^2}{2}(2l + 1 + 2N + 2n)^2, \tag{70}$$

and the quantum potential V_q turns out to be

$$V_q = \frac{\omega^2}{2}x^2 + \frac{l(l-1)}{2x^2} + \omega(N - n). \tag{71}$$

Also, the function b_1 takes the form

$$b_1 = e^W \psi = 2\omega x^{2l+1} P(N, n, l, \omega x^2), \tag{72}$$

$$P(N, n, l, \omega x^2) = L_N^{l+\frac{1}{2}}(-\omega x^2) L_n^{l+\frac{1}{2}}(\omega x^2) - L_{N-1}^{l+\frac{1}{2}}(-\omega x^2) L_{n-1}^{l+\frac{1}{2}}(\omega x^2), \tag{73}$$

where $P(N, n, l, \omega x^2)$ are the exceptional Laguerre orthogonal polynomials. Exceptional orthogonal polynomials have been introduced quite recently by Gomez-Ullate et al. in [20]. The introduction of these new orthogonal polynomials led to new families of shape-invariant potentials (see e.g. the papers of Quesne [21] and Sasaki [22]).

2.2. The Poschl-Teller potential

Another interesting example is provided by the solution of the ODE (57) when the potential V_q is given by the Poschl-Teller

$$2V_q + \sqrt{2k_1} = \frac{(g+N)(g+N+1)}{\sin^2 x} + \frac{(h+N-1)(h+N-2)}{\cos^2 x} - (2N+h-g-1)^2. \tag{74}$$

In this case the prepotential W takes the form

$$W = -(g+N)\ln(\sin(x))+(h+N-1)\ln(\cos(x))+\ln(P_N^{-g-N-\frac{1}{2},h+N-\frac{3}{2}}(\cos(2x))), \quad (75)$$

where $P_N^{\alpha,\beta}$ are the Jacobi polynomials. We introduce the following set of eigenfunctions for the Hamiltonian operator defined by $a^\dagger a$

$$\phi_{n,g+N,h}(x) = \sin^{g+N+1}(x)\cos^{h+N-1}(x)P_n^{g+N+\frac{1}{2},h+N-\frac{3}{2}}(\cos(2x)), \quad (76)$$

which satisfies the following equation

$$a^\dagger a\phi_{n,g+N,h} = (2n+1+2g)(4N+2n+2h-1)\phi_{n,g+N,h}. \quad (77)$$

From (76) we obtain the wavefunction in the form $\psi = a\phi_{n,g+N,h}$ which satisfies

$$(aa^\dagger - 2\sqrt{2k_1})\psi = 0; k_1 = \frac{1}{8}(2n+1+2g)^2(2n+2h+4N-1)^2, \quad (78)$$

and the potential V_q turns out to be

$$V_q = \frac{(g+N)(g+N+1)}{2\sin^2 x}+\frac{(h+N-1)(h+N-2)}{2\cos^2 x}-\frac{(2N+h-g-1)^2+(2n+g+h+2N)^2}{4}. \quad (79)$$

As previously noted the function b_1 turns out to be $b_1 = \psi e^W$. In this case the function b given by (55), can be expressed in terms of exceptional orthogonal polynomials

$$e^W\psi = -(2n+1+2g)\cos(x)^{2h+2N-1}Pe_{n,N}^{g,h}(\cos(2x)), \quad (80)$$

where the $Pe_{n,N}^{g,h}(\cos(2x))$ are the exceptional Jacobi orthogonal polynomials [22]

$$Pe_{n,N}^{g,h}(\cos(2x)) = a_{n,N}^{g,h}(\cos(2x))P_n^{g+N-\frac{1}{2},h+N-\frac{1}{2}}(\cos(2x)) + b_{n,N}^{g,h}(\cos(2x))P_{n-1}^{g+N-\frac{1}{2},h+N-\frac{1}{2}}(\cos(2x)), \quad (81)$$

$$a_{n,N}^{g,h}(x) = P_N^{-g-N-\frac{3}{2},h+N-\frac{1}{2}}(x)+$$
$$+\frac{2n(h+N-g-1)P_{N-1}^{-g-N+\frac{1}{2},h+N-\frac{1}{2}}(x)}{(h+2N-2-g)(g+h+2n+2N-1)} - \frac{n(2h+4N-3)P_{N-2}^{-g-N+\frac{1}{2},h+N-\frac{1}{2}}(x)}{(2g+2n+1)(h+2N-g-2)},$$

$$b_{n,N}^{g,h}(x) = \frac{(h+N-g-1)(2g+2n+2N-1)}{(2g+2n+1)(g+h+2n+2N-1)}P_{N-1}^{-g-N+\frac{1}{2},h+N-\frac{1}{2}}(x).$$

2.3. **The stationary hydrogen atom**

Finally, we consider the case of the hydrogen atom. Let us consider as prepotential the following

$$W = -\frac{\mu}{2(N-l)}x - l\ln x + \ln(L_N^{-2l-1}(\frac{\mu x}{N-l})), \quad (82)$$

where $L_n^l(x)$ are the Laguerre polynomials. We replace this prepotential W in equations (63) and (65)

$$\begin{cases} a = -\partial_x + W_x, \\ a^\dagger = \partial_x + W_x, \end{cases} \tag{83}$$

such that equation (64) takes the form

$$W_x^2 + W_{xx} = \sqrt{2k} + 2V_q = \frac{\mu^2}{4(l-N)^2} - \frac{\mu}{x} + \frac{l(l+1)}{x^2},$$

$$\begin{cases} a^\dagger a\rho = 0, \rho = e^W, \\ (aa^\dagger - 2\sqrt{2k_1})\psi = 0, \end{cases} \tag{84}$$

where the wavefunction ψ and the parameter k_1 are given by

$$\psi = a(e^{-\frac{\mu x}{2(l+n+1)}} x^{l+1} L_n^{2l+1}(\frac{\mu x}{l+n+1})), \quad k_1 = \frac{2\mu^4(N^2-(n+1)^2-2l(N+n+1))^2}{4^4(l-N)^4(l+n+1)^4}. \tag{85}$$

In particular if we set $n = N = 0$ we obtain an explicit form for the function b_1

$$b_1 = e^W\psi = e^{\frac{\mu x}{2l(l+1)}} \frac{2l+1}{2l}(2l - \frac{x\mu}{l+1}), \tag{86}$$

from which we get for the classical potential V_c

$$V_c = k_1 \frac{b^2}{b_u^2} = \frac{(2l+1)^2}{8l(l+1)} \left(\frac{l(l+1)}{u^2} - \frac{\mu}{u} + \frac{\mu^2}{4l(l+1)} \right). \tag{87}$$

As already shown for the quantization of (8), (22) and (21), (23) we verify that if we chose $b_1(\cdot) = b_2(\cdot)$ (which is the choice adopted in [10] in order to have $b|_{x=u} = 0$) then we obtain a classic potential $V_c(u)$ whose limit for large l coincides with $V_q(u)$. However, we should remark that in this case the energy of the system turns out to be fixed and corresponds to that of a particle moving in a Kepler/Coulomb potential on a circular orbit.

3. The Harmonic oscillator and the exact solution of its LSP

In the previous sections we have shown that any one-dimensional Newton equation (2) can be associated with a LSP which coincides with a time-dependent Schroedinger equation. The aim of this section is to discuss thoroughly an explicit example with the goal of providing the exact solution of the LSP (which in general is a superposition of solutions for the time-independent Schroedinger equation) for any given solution of its classical counterpart (90).

Let us consider the Harmonic oscillator potential obtained from the solution of (56) with $b = x^2$ and the constant $k_1 = 2\omega^2, k_2 = -4E, k_3 = -2l^2$. This choice produces the following Lax pair (1) with potential matrices of the form

$$\mathbf{U} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x^2 - u(t)^2 \\ \frac{\frac{l^2-\frac{1}{4}}{x^2} + \omega^2 x^2 - \frac{l^2}{u(t)^2} - \omega^2 u(t)^2 - \dot{u}(t)^2 - \frac{1-2iu(t)\dot{u}(t)}{x^2-u(t)^2}}{x^2-u(t)^2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{88}$$

$$\mathbf{V}=\begin{pmatrix} \frac{-i-2u(t)\dot{u}}{2(x^2-u(t)^2)} & ix \\ \frac{4l^2x^2+u(t)^2(1-4l^2-4x^4\omega^2+4x^2(\omega^2u(t)^2+\dot{u}(t)^2))}{4xiu(t)^2(x^2-u(t)^2)^2} & \frac{i+2u(t)\dot{u}}{2(x^2-u(t)^2)} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{89}$$

It is possible to verify by direct calculation that the ZCC is equivalent to the Newton equation (2) for a classical particle moving under the oscillator potential plus a centrifugal barrier

$$\partial_t\mathbf{U}-\partial_x\mathbf{V}+[\mathbf{U},\mathbf{V}]=0\equiv\ddot{u}-\frac{l^2}{u(t)^3}+\omega^2u(t)=0, \tag{90}$$

which is satisfied for the function

$$u(t)=\sqrt{\frac{E}{\omega^2}-\frac{\sqrt{E^2-l^2\omega^2}}{\omega^2}\sin(2\omega t)}. \tag{91}$$

The LSP (9) for the Lax pair (88) and (89) reduces to the following system of two linear PDEs

$$i\phi_{1t}+E\phi_1=-\frac{1}{2}\phi_{1xx}+\left(\frac{l^2-\frac{1}{4}}{2x^2}+\frac{\omega^2}{2}x^2\right)\phi_1, \tag{92}$$

$$2i(x^2-u(t)^2)\phi_{1t}=(1-2i\dot{u}(t)u(t))\phi_1-2x\phi_{1x}, \tag{93}$$

$$\phi_2=\frac{\phi_{1x}}{x^2-u^2(t)}, \tag{94}$$

where the functions ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are the two component of the wave function vector

$$\mathbf{\Phi}=\begin{pmatrix}\phi_1\\ \phi_2\end{pmatrix} \tag{95}$$

Let us expand the function ϕ_1 as a series of stationary solutions of the Schroedinger equation (92)

$$\phi_1=\sum_n c_ne^{-i\epsilon_nt}\chi_n^l,\quad \epsilon_n=\omega(2n+l+1)-E,\qquad \chi_n^l\equiv e^{-\frac{\omega x^2}{2}}x^{l+\frac{1}{2}}L_n^l(\omega x^2). \tag{96}$$

Replacing (96) in (93) and taking into account the following relations

$$x\partial_x\chi_n^l=(n+1)\chi_{n+1}^l-\frac{1}{2}\chi_n^l-(l+n)\chi_{n-1}^l, \tag{97}$$

$$-\omega x^2\chi_n^l=(n+1)\chi_{n+1}^l-(2n+l+1)\chi_n^l+(n+l)\chi_{n-1}^l, \tag{98}$$

$$u^2\partial_te^{-i\epsilon_nt}=\frac{-iE\epsilon_n}{\omega^2}e^{-i\epsilon_nt}+\frac{\epsilon_n\sqrt{E^2-l^2\omega^2}}{2\omega^2}(e^{-i\epsilon_{n-1}t}-e^{-i\epsilon_{n+1}t}), \tag{99}$$

$$-2u\dot{u}e^{-i\epsilon_nt}=\frac{\sqrt{E^2-l^2\omega^2}}{\omega}(e^{-i\epsilon_{n-1}t}+e^{-i\epsilon_{n+1}t}), \tag{100}$$

we arrive at the following set of equations determining the coefficients c_n of the series (96)

$$e^{-i\epsilon_nt}\left(\alpha_n\chi_{n+1}^l+\beta_n\chi_n^l+\gamma_n\chi_{n-1}^l\right)=0,\quad\forall n \tag{101}$$

$$\alpha_n = ic_{n+1}\frac{\sqrt{E^2-l^2\omega^2}}{\omega}\left(1+\frac{\epsilon_{n+1}}{\omega}\right)+2c_n(n+1)\left(\frac{\epsilon_n}{\omega}-1\right)=0, \quad (102)$$

$$\beta_n = 2c_n\left(1-\frac{\epsilon_n}{\omega}(2n+l+1)+\frac{E\epsilon_n}{\omega^2}\right)=0, \quad (103)$$

$$\gamma_n = 2c_n(n+l)\left(\frac{\epsilon_n}{\omega}+1\right)+ic_{n-1}\frac{\sqrt{E^2-l^2\omega^2}}{\omega}\left(1-\frac{\epsilon_{n-1}}{\omega}\right)=0. \quad (104)$$

This system of equations can be solved for c_n with a series of two terms if we set the classical energy E to the value $E=\omega(2n+l+2)$. With this choice we determine the coefficients c_n to be

$$\frac{c_{n+1}}{c_n}=\frac{-i\sqrt{n+1}}{\sqrt{n+l+1}}, \quad c_i=0 \quad \{i\neq n,n+1\}, \quad n\geq 0. \quad (105)$$

Therefore a classical particle moving with energy $E=\omega(2n+l+2), n\geq 0$ is associated to a ϕ_1 which is a superposition of two quantum states with energies $E_1=\omega(2n+l+1)$ and $E_2=\omega(2n+l+3)$

$$\phi_1=\frac{1}{<\phi_1|\phi_1>}\left(e^{-i\omega t}e^{-\frac{\omega x^2}{2}}x^{l+\frac{1}{2}}L_n^l(\omega x^2)-\frac{i\sqrt{n+1}}{\sqrt{n+l+1}}e^{i\omega t}e^{-\frac{\omega x^2}{2}}x^{l+\frac{1}{2}}L_{n+1}^l(\omega x^2)\right), \quad (106)$$

which produces a probability $<\phi_1|\phi_1>$ which oscillates with frequency ω.

To conclude let us consider the case of a stationary particle of energy $E=\omega l$. In this case the solution for ϕ_1 turns out to be

$$\phi_1=\frac{e^{-i\omega t}e^{-\frac{\omega x^2}{2}}x^{l+\frac{1}{2}}}{<\phi_1|\phi_1>}, \quad (107)$$

whose probability, as expected, turns out to be time-independent.

4. Concluding remarks and future outlook

The main result of the paper is the application of the quantization procedure in the sense of the SZZ [9] [10] to any time-independent potential. We have shown that such a quantization can be realized up to the solution of the Gambier XXVII equation. In particular it is shown that explicit solutions can always be computed for any shape-invariant potential. Particular examples have been analyzed for classical and exceptional orthogonal Laguerre and Jacobi polyomials. Finally the solution of the LSP associated with the quantization of the Harmonic oscillator is provided explicitly. The classical energy $E=\omega(2n+l+2)$ turns out to be the mean value of the energy eigenvalues of the two wavefunctions in the series (96) which satisfy the LSP $E_1=\omega(2n+l+1)<E<E_2=\omega(2n+l+3)$ establishing in this way a new connection between the classical Newton equation (90) and its quantum counterpart (93). There are reasons to expect that this connection can also be found for more general quantization procedures with time-dependent potentials. An analysis of equations (56) and (57) for potentials similar to the one studied in sections 2 and 3 can provide us with an explicit form of the wavefunction satisfying the LSP (42). Since the ODE (57) constitutes a special case for any time-independent potential, it is

evident that our approach can be applied to systems which describe much more diverse types of potentials. Another interesting avenue for future research could include the study of soliton surfaces based on the LSP for quantum Hamiltonian systems. These surfaces are directly expressed in terms of the wavefunction Φ satisfying the associated LSP (1) of the considered model (see e.g. [23] [24]). A visual image of such surfaces reflecting the behaviour of solutions can be of interest, providing information about the properties of these surfaces, which otherwise would be hidden in some implicit mathematical expression. These tasks will be undertaken in a future work.

5. Acknowledgements

A.M.G.'s work was supported by a research grant from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Council of Canada (NSERC). D.R. wishes to acknowledge a fellowship from the Laboratory of Mathematical Physics of the Centre de Recherches Mathématiques CRM (Université de Montréal).

References

[1] Calogero F, Degasperis A, Spectral transform and solitons: tools to solve and investigate nonlinear evolution equations. Vol 1 Studies in Mathematics and its Applications, Vol 13. Amsterdam-New York- Oxford: North-Holland, 1982
[2] Ablowitz MJ , Clarkson P A: Solitons, Nonlinear evolution equations and Inverse Scattering, London Math. Soc. 149, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1991
[3] Bobenko A I, Eitner U, Painlevé equations in the differential geometry of surfaces, Springer, Berlin, 2000.
[4] Iwasaki K, Kimura H, Shimomura S, and Yoshida M, From Gauss to Painlevé a Modern Theory of special functions, Aspects of Mathematics, Vol. E16 (Vieweg, Braunschweig, 1991).
[5] Conte R The Painlevé property. One century later. CRM series in Mathematical Physics Springer, New York 1999
[6] Painlevé P, Mémoire sur les équations différentielles dont l'intégrale général est uniforme, Bull. Soc. Math. Phys. France **28** 201-206 (1900)
[7] Painlevé P, Sur les équations différentielles du second ordre et d'ordre supérieur dont l'intégrale générale est uniforme, Acta Math. **21**, 1-85 (1902)
[8] Fuchs R, Sur quelques équations différentielles linéares du second ordre, C.R. Acad. Sci. (Paris) 141 555-558 (1905)
[9] Suleimanov B, "Quantization" of the second Painlevé equation and the problem of the equivalence of its L-A pairs, Theor. Math. Phys. **156** 1280-1291 (2008) (Translated from Teor. Mat. Fys. **156** 364-377 (2008))
[10] Zabrodin A, Zotov, A , Quantum Painlevé-Calogero correspondence *J. Math. Phys.* **53** i.7 073507 (2012)
[11] Zabrodin A, Zotov, A, Quantum Painlevé-Calogero correspondence for Painlevé VI *J. Math. Phys.* **53** 073508 (2012)
[12] Zabrodin A, Zotov A, Classical-Quantum correspondence and Functional Relations for Painlevé equations arXiv:1212.5813 (2012)
[13] Malmquist J Sur les équations différentielles du second ordre dont l'intégrale générale a ses points critiques fixes Ark. Mat. Astr. Fys. **17** , 1-89 (1922/23)
[14] Okamoto K, Polynomial Hamiltonians associated with Painlevé equations, Proc. Jpn. Acad., Ser. A: Math. Sci. **56** 264-268 (1980)
[15] Levin A and Olshanetsky M, Painlevé-Calogero correspondence, Calogero-Moser-Sutherland models (Montreal 1997) CRM Ser. Math. Phys., Springer (2000)
[16] Gambier B, Sur les equations differentielles du second ordre et du premier degré dont l'integrale generale est a points critiques fixes. Acta Math 33 , 1-55 (1910)
[17] Schroedinger E, A method of determining quantum mechanical eigenvalues and eigenfunctions, Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. Sect. A **46** , 9-16 (1940)
[18] Infeld L, Hull T E, The factorization method, Rev. Mod. Phys. Vol **23** n1 21-68 (1951)
[19] Cooper F. Khare A, Sukhatme U. Supersymmetry and quantum mechanics*Phys. Rep.* **251** 267-385 (1995)

[20] Gomez Ullate D, Kamran N and Milson R An extended class of orthogonal polynomials defined by a Sturm-Liouville operator, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **359** 352-367 (2009)
[21] Quesne C , Exceptional Orthogonal polynomials, exactly solvable potentials and supersymmetry J. Phys. A **41** 392001 (2008)
[22] Odake S. Sasaki R. Infinitely many shape-invariant potentials and new orthogonal polynomials *Phys. Lett. B* **679** 414-417 (2009)
[23] Grundland A M, Post S, Riglioni D, Soliton surfaces and generalized symmetries of integrable systems. J. Phys. A: Math. and Theor. **47** 1 015201 (2014)
[24] Fokas A S, Gel'fand I M, Finkel F, Liu Q M, A formula for constructing infinitely many surfaces on Lie algebras and integrable equations. Sel. Math. **6** 347-375 (2000)